

# Damas-Milner Type System: type inference and term generation.

**Definition 1.** *Generalization*

$$\mathbf{G}(\tau, E) = \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n. \tau$$

where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are exactly these free variables of  $\tau$ , which do not occur in  $E$ .

If  $E = [x_1: \tau_1; x_2: \tau_2; \dots; x_k: \tau_k]$ , and  $1 \leq n \leq k$  then we write  $E(n) = x_n: \tau_n$  and  $E(x_n) = \tau_n$ . For length we write  $\bar{E} = k$ .

**Definition 2.** *Specialization of a type for a variable from environment:  $\tau$  is a specialization of a type of variable  $x$  from environment  $E$*

$$\tau \leq E(x) \equiv E = \dots; x: \forall \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n. \sigma; \dots \text{ and } \tau = [\tau_1/\alpha_1; \dots; \tau_n/\alpha_n] \sigma$$

for some  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ . A type  $\sigma$  is more general than  $\tau$

$$\tau \leq \sigma \equiv \tau = \forall \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m. \tau' \wedge \sigma = \forall \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n. \sigma' \wedge \tau' = [\tau_1/\alpha_1; \dots; \tau_n/\alpha_n] \sigma'$$

for some  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ . Substitution  $T = [\tau_1/\alpha_1; \dots; \tau_n/\alpha_n]$  denotes replacement of free occurrences of variables  $\alpha_i$  by corresponding types  $\tau_i$ .

**Definition 3.** *Typing rules for the Mini-ML language:*

1. VAR: Variables.

$$\frac{\tau \leq E(x)}{E \vdash x: \tau}$$

2. FIX: Functions.

$$\frac{E.f: \sigma \rightarrow \tau. x: \sigma \vdash e: \tau}{E \vdash (\text{fix } f.x.e): \sigma \rightarrow \tau}$$

3. APP: Applications.

$$\frac{E \vdash e: \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad E \vdash e': \sigma}{E \vdash ee': \tau}$$

4. LET: Local bindings.

$$\frac{E \vdash e': \sigma \quad E.x: \mathbf{G}(\sigma, E) \vdash e: \tau}{E \vdash (\text{let } x = e' \text{ in } e): \tau}$$

**Lemma 4.** *When  $E \vdash \tau$  and type constants  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  do not occur in  $E$ , then*

$$E \vdash \forall \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n. [\alpha_1/d_1; \dots; \alpha_n/d_n] \tau$$

## Type inference algorithm $\mathcal{W}$ and term generation algorithm $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 5.**  $\mathcal{W}(E, e, V) = (T, \tau, V')$ , where match  $e$  with:

1.  $e = x$  (VAR: Variables).  $T = \emptyset$  and for  $x: \forall \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n. \sigma \in E$  let

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= [\vec{\beta}/\vec{\alpha}] \sigma \\ V' &= V' \setminus \vec{\beta} \\ T &= \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

2.  $e = \text{fix } fx.e_1$  (*FIX: Functions*). Let

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \{\beta, \beta_1\} \dot{\cup} V'' \\ (R, \rho, V') &= \mathcal{W}(E.f: \beta_1 \rightarrow \beta.x: \beta_1, e_1, V'') \\ U &= \mathbf{U}(R\beta, \rho) \\ T &= UR \\ \tau &= UR(\beta_1 \rightarrow \beta) \end{aligned}$$

3.  $e = fg$  (*APP: Applications*). Let

$$\begin{aligned} (R, \rho, V_1) &= \mathcal{W}(E, f, V) \\ (S, \sigma, V_2) &= \mathcal{W}(RE, g, V_1) \\ U &= \mathbf{U}(S\rho, \sigma \rightarrow \beta) \\ V' &= V_2 \setminus \{\beta\} \\ T &= USR \\ \tau &= U\beta \end{aligned}$$

4.  $e = \text{let } x = f \text{ in } g$  (*LET: Local bindings*). Let

$$\begin{aligned} (R, \rho, V_1) &= \mathcal{W}(E, f, V) \\ (S, \sigma, V') &= \mathcal{W}(RE.x: \mathbf{G}(\rho, RE), g, V_1) \\ T &= SR \\ \tau &= \sigma \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 6.**  $\mathcal{C}(E, \tau, V, \vec{w}) = (T, e, V', \vec{w}')$ , where for  $(w_1 \bmod 4)$  equal

1. *VAR: Variables.* For  $E(w_2 \bmod \bar{E}) = x: \forall \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n. \sigma$  let

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \mathbf{U}(\tau, [\beta_1/\alpha_1] \dots [\beta_n/\alpha_n] \sigma) \\ V &= \{\beta_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \dot{\cup} V' \\ e &= x \\ T &= U \\ w'_i &= w_{i+2} \end{aligned}$$

If the unifier does not exist,  $\mathcal{C}$  is undefined.

2. *FIX: Functions.* If  $\tau = \sigma \rightarrow \rho$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} (R, e_1, V', \vec{w}_1) &= \mathcal{C}(E.f: \tau.x: \sigma, \rho, V, (w_{i+1})) \\ T &= R \\ e &= \text{fix } fx.e_1 \\ \vec{w}' &= \vec{w}_1 \end{aligned}$$

where  $f, x$  are new variables. If  $\tau = \alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is a type variable, for  $\beta_1, \beta \in V$  let

$$\begin{aligned} R &= [\beta_1 \rightarrow \beta/\alpha] \\ (S, e_1, V', \vec{w}_1) &= \mathcal{C}(RE.f: \beta_1 \rightarrow \beta.x: \beta_1, \beta, V \setminus \{\beta_1, \beta\}, (w_{i+1})) \\ T &= SR \\ e &= \text{fix } fx.e_1 \end{aligned}$$

where  $f, x$  are new variables. If  $\tau$  has a different form,  $\mathcal{C}$  is undefined.

3. *APP: Applications.* For  $\beta \in V$  let

$$\begin{aligned} (R, f, V_1, \vec{w}_1) &= \mathcal{C}(E, \beta \rightarrow \tau, V \setminus \{\beta\}, (w_{i+1})) \\ (S, g, V', \vec{w}_2) &= \mathcal{C}(RE, R\beta, V_1, \vec{w}_1) \\ T &= SR \\ e &= fg \\ \vec{w}' &= \vec{w}_2 \end{aligned}$$

4. *LET: Local bindings.* For  $\beta \in V$  let

$$\begin{aligned} (R, f, V_1, \vec{w}_1) &= \mathcal{C}(E, \beta, V \setminus \{\beta\}, (w_{i+1})) \\ (S, g, V', \vec{w}_2) &= \mathcal{C}(RE.x: \mathbf{G}(R\beta, RE), R\tau, V_1, \vec{w}_1) \\ T &= SR \\ e &= \text{let } x = f \text{ in } g \\ \vec{w}' &= \vec{w}_2 \end{aligned}$$

**Soundness.**

**Theorem 7.** Let  $e$  be an expression,  $E$  an environment,  $V$  a set of type variables. If  $(T, \tau, V') = \mathcal{W}(E, e, V)$  is defined, then we can derive  $TE \vdash e: \tau$ .

**Theorem 8.** Let  $\tau$  be a type,  $E$  an environment,  $V$  a set of type variables. If  $(T, e, V') = \mathcal{C}(E, \tau, V)$  is defined, we can derive  $TE \vdash e: T\tau$ .

**Completeness.**

**Theorem 9.** Let  $e$  be an expression,  $E$  an environment,  $V$  an infinite set of variables such, that  $V \cap \mathbf{F}(E) = \emptyset$ . If there exists type  $\tau'$  and substitution  $T'$  such that  $T'E \vdash e: \tau'$ , then  $(T, \tau, V') = \mathcal{W}(E, e, V)$  is defined, and there exists a substitution  $P$  such that

$$\tau' = P\tau \quad \text{and} \quad T' = PT \text{ outside } V$$

**Definition 10.** Type  $\sigma$  is more general than  $\tau$

$$\tau \preceq \sigma \equiv \text{there exists substitution } P: P\sigma = \tau$$

Substitution  $S$  is more general than  $R$

$$\begin{aligned} R \preceq S &\equiv \text{there exists substitution } P: PS = R \\ &\equiv \text{Dom}(S) \subseteq \text{Dom}(R) \text{ i } \forall \alpha \in \text{Dom}(S): R\alpha \preceq S\alpha \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 11.** Let  $e$  be an expression,  $E$  an environment. For any type  $\tau$  and substitution  $T'$  such that  $T'E \vdash e: T'\tau$  and  $\mathbf{F}(T') \cap \text{Dom}(T') = \emptyset$ , for infinite set of variables  $V$  disjoint with  $\mathbf{F}(E)$  and  $\mathbf{F}(T')$ , for some path of choices the following holds:  $(T, e, V') = \mathcal{C}(E, \tau, V)$  and  $T' \preceq T$  outside  $V$ .

**Corollary 12.** If  $\beta$  is a type variable not occurring in  $E$ ,  $\beta \notin V$ , and  $\mathcal{C}(E, \beta, V) = (T, e, V_1)$ , then for any type  $\tau$  and substitution  $T'$ ,  $\beta \notin \mathbf{F}(\tau) \cup \mathbf{F}(T')$ , for which  $T'E \vdash e: \tau$ , we have  $T' \preceq T$  outside  $V \cup \{\beta\}$  i  $\tau \preceq T\beta$ .

## Extending the language with the construct case.

**Definition 13.** *Typing rules for the inductive structures:*

1. *VAR: Variables...*
2. *FIX: Functions...*
3. *APP: Applications...*
4. *LET: Local bindings...*
5. *CASE: Deconstruction*

$$\frac{E \vdash e': d\vec{\tau} \quad E \vdash e_i: \text{Inst}_{c_i}\vec{\tau} \rightarrow \theta (1 \leq i \leq n)}{E \vdash \text{case } e' \text{ of } \{c_1 \Rightarrow e_1 | \dots | c_n \Rightarrow e_n\}: \theta} \text{ if } \mathbf{C}(d) = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$$

6. *Instead of the rule: CONS: Constructor*

$$\frac{}{E \vdash c: \text{Inst}_c\vec{\tau} \rightarrow d\vec{\tau}} \text{ if } c \in \mathbf{C}(d)$$

we introduce an assumption on environment  $E$ :

$$(\forall d)(\forall c \in \mathbf{C}(d)) \text{ let } c: \forall \vec{\alpha}. \text{Inst}_c\vec{\alpha} \rightarrow d\vec{\alpha} \in E, \text{ where } \#\vec{\alpha} \text{ is the arity of } d$$

where  $\mathbf{C}(d)$  is a set of constructors of the type  $d$ ,  $\vec{\tau}$  are parameters of the type,  $\text{Inst}_c\vec{\tau}$  is a vector of argument types of constructor  $c$ , when the parametric type  $d$  takes parameters  $\vec{\tau}$ .  
 Notation: if  $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) = (\sigma_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ , then  $\#\vec{\sigma} = n$  and

$$\vec{\sigma} \rightarrow \tau = \sigma_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \sigma_n \rightarrow \tau = \sigma_1 \rightarrow (\dots \rightarrow (\sigma_n \rightarrow \tau) \dots)$$

**Definition 14.**  $\mathcal{W}(E, e, V) = (T, \tau, V')$ , where match  $e$  with:

1.  $e = x$  (*VAR: Variables*)...
2.  $e = \text{fix } fx.e_1$  (*FIX: Functions*)...
3.  $e = fg$  (*APP: Applications*)...
4.  $e = \text{let } x = f \text{ in } g$  (*LET: Local bindings*)...
5.  $e = \text{case } e' \text{ of } \{c_1 \Rightarrow e_1 | \dots | c_n \Rightarrow e_n\}$  (*CASE: Deconstruction*) For type  $d$  with arity  $k$  ( $k$ -parametric) such that  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbf{C}(d)$

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \{\beta_i | 1 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{\theta\} \dot{\cup} V_r \\ (T_0, \rho, V_0) &= \mathcal{W}(E, e', V_r) \\ U_0 &= \mathbf{U}(\rho, d\vec{\beta}) \\ (T_1, \sigma_1, V_1) &= \mathcal{W}(U_0 T_0 E, e_1, V_0) \\ U_1 &= \mathbf{U}(T_1 U_0 T_0 (\text{Inst}_{c_1}\vec{\beta} \rightarrow \theta), \sigma_1) \\ &\dots \\ (T_n, \sigma_n, V_n) &= \mathcal{W}(U_{n-1} T_{n-1} \dots U_0 T_0 E, e_n, V_{n-1}) \\ U_n &= \mathbf{U}(T_n U_{n-1} T_{n-1} \dots U_0 T_0 (\text{Inst}_{c_1}\vec{\beta} \rightarrow \theta), \sigma_n) \\ T &= U_n T_n \dots U_0 T_0 \\ \tau &= T\theta \\ V' &= V_n \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 15.**  $\mathcal{C}(E, \tau, V, \vec{w}) = (T, e, V', \vec{w}')$ , where for  $(w_1 \bmod 5)$  equal

1. *VAR: Variables...*
2. *FIX: Functions...*
3. *APP: Applications...*
4. *LET: Local bindings...*
5. *CASE: Deconstruction.* Let  $d$  be  $(w_{i+1} \bmod D)$ -th inductive type, where  $D$  is the number of inductive types, and  $k$  is the arity of type  $d$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned}
V &= \{\beta_i | 1 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{\theta\} \dot{\cup} V_r \\
(R, e', V_0, \vec{w}_0) &= \mathcal{C}(E, d\vec{\beta}, V_r, (w_{i+2})) \\
(T_1, e_1, V_1, \vec{w}_1) &= \mathcal{C}(RE, R(\text{Inst}_{c_1}\vec{\beta} \rightarrow \tau), V_0, \vec{w}_0) \\
&\dots \\
(T_n, e_n, V_n, \vec{w}_n) &= \mathcal{C}(T_{n-1}\dots T_1RE, T_{n-1}\dots T_1R(\text{Inst}_{c_n}\vec{\beta} \rightarrow \tau), V_{n-1}, \vec{w}_{n-1}) \\
T &= T_n\dots T_1R \\
e &= \text{case } e' \text{ of } \{c_1 \Rightarrow e_1 | \dots | c_n \Rightarrow e_n\} \\
V' &= V_n \\
\vec{w}' &= \vec{w}_n
\end{aligned}$$

**Soundness with case.**

**Theorem 16.** Let  $e$  be an expression,  $E$  an environment,  $V$  a set of type variables. If  $(T, \tau, V') = \mathcal{W}(E, e, V)$  is defined, then we can derive  $TE \vdash e : \tau$ .

**Theorem 17.** Let  $\tau$  be a type,  $E$  an environment,  $V$  a set of type variables. If  $(T, e, V') = \mathcal{C}(E, \tau, V)$  is defined, we can derive  $TE \vdash e : T\tau$ .

**Completeness with case.**

**Theorem 18.** Let  $e$  be an expression,  $E$  an environment,  $V$  an infinite set of type variables such that  $V \cap \mathbf{F}(E) = \emptyset$ . If there exists a type  $\tau'$  and a substitution  $T'$  such that  $T'E \vdash e : \tau'$ , then  $(T, \tau, V') = \mathcal{W}(E, e, V)$  is defined, and there exists a substitution  $P$  such that

$$\tau' = P\tau \quad i \quad T' = PT \text{ outside } V$$

**Theorem 19.** Let  $e$  be an expression,  $E$  an environment. For any type  $\tau$  and substitution  $T'$  such that  $T'E \vdash e : T'\tau$  and  $\mathbf{F}(T') \cap \text{Dom}(T') = \emptyset$  (?), for an infinite set of variables  $V$  disjoint with  $\mathbf{F}(E)$  and  $\mathbf{F}(T')$ , for some path of choices the following holds:  $(T, e, V') = \mathcal{C}(E, \tau, V)$  and  $T' \preceq T$  outside  $V$ .