

# Word Equations: Sheet 10

**Task 1** Show that a positive theory of word equations over free semigroup is undecidable. Two alternations of quantifiers are enough (one, if you put some thought into it).

*Hint:* <sup>1</sup>

**Task 2** Show that for large enough  $r_i$  there is a set of enough random string.

*Hint:* <sup>2</sup>

**Task 3 [Newman's lemma]** A rewriting system  $S = \{(\ell_i, r_i)\}_{i \in I}$  is called length-reducing if  $(\ell, r) \in S$  implies  $|\ell| > |r|$ .  $S$  is called confluent, if for all  $s, t, u$  with  $s \rightarrow_S^* t$  and  $s \rightarrow_S^* u$  there exists  $v$  with  $t, u \rightarrow_S^* v$ ; it is local confluent, if  $s, t, u$  with  $s \rightarrow_S t$  and  $s \rightarrow_S u$  there exists  $v$  with  $t, u \rightarrow_S^* v$ .

Show that if  $S$  is length-reducing, then  $S$  is confluent if and only if it is local confluent.

**Task 4** Show that each of the defined rewriting systems  $P_i$  is confluent and thus each term has a unique normal form (note that the rewriting system is length-reducing).

**Task 5** An *involution*  $\bar{\cdot}$  is any operation (defined in a semigroup) such that  $\bar{\cdot}$  is an identity and  $\bar{ab} = \bar{b} \bar{a}$ . In particular, we can define  $\bar{\cdot}$  on some letters as an identity, such letters are called self-involuting.

Show that we can reduce a problem of word equations in a free semigroup with involution and regular constraints to the case in which there is no self-involuting letter.

**Task 6** Show that if a homomorphism  $\rho : M \mapsto \mathbb{B}_{n \times n}$  (so: Boolean matrices of size  $n \times n$ ) from a free monoid with involution  $M$  into Boolean matrices does not preserve involution (in particular, the involution on  $\mathbb{B}_{n \times n}$  may be undefined), then we can find a different set of Boolean matrices  $\mathbb{B}_{m \times m}$  for which we define the involution and there is a homomorphism  $\rho' : M \mapsto \mathbb{B}_{m \times m}$  from  $M$  to  $\mathbb{B}_{m \times m}$  that preserves the involution and each set regular in  $\mathbb{B}_{n \times n}$  is regular in  $\mathbb{B}_{m \times m}$  (but not necessarily vice-versa).

*Hint:* <sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>First make the claim about the whole theory and then eliminate the negation as we did before.

<sup>2</sup>The simplest proof is through Kolmogorov's complexity, but random strings should also be good.

<sup>3</sup>Take  $\overline{\mathbb{B}_{n \times n}}$  and consider  $\mathbb{B}_{n \times n} \times \overline{\mathbb{B}_{n \times n}}$ . How to define the involution?