

Quaternions

Vector Products

Definition: Let $\bar{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $\bar{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ be vectors.

The **dot product** (also called **scalar product** or **inner product**) of \bar{x} and \bar{y} is defined as

$$\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3.$$

It is a single, real number.

The dot product can be interpreted as

$$|\bar{x}| \cdot |\bar{y}| \cdot \cos \phi,$$

where ϕ is the angle between the vectors. (Note that $|\bar{y}| \cdot \cos \phi$ is the length of the projection of \bar{y} onto \bar{x} .) (Show that both \cdot and the interpretation are linear in both of their arguments, and that the interpretation makes sense of parallel and orthogonal vectors.)

Vector Products

Definition: Let $\bar{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $\bar{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ be vectors.

The **cross product** is defined as

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_2y_3 - y_2x_3 \\ x_3y_1 - y_3x_1 \\ x_1y_2 - y_1x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is used in expressing angular velocity. It is written as $\bar{x} \times \bar{y}$.

Quaternions

Definition A **quaternion** is a quadruple $(r; x_1, x_2, x_3)$, where $r, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathcal{R}$.

The quaternion can be viewed as a quadruple of real numbers. (This is how I defined it.) In that case, the components are called **1, i, j, and k**.

It can be also viewed as a pair, consisting of a real number and a vector. In that case, we call r the **real** or **scalar part** and (x_1, x_2, x_3) **the vector part**.

Quaternions

For $r \in \mathcal{R}$, we identify r and $(r; 0, 0, 0)$.

For $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{R}^3$, we identify \bar{v} and $(0; \bar{v})$.

Definition: Addition, subtraction, and multiplication by a real number, are defined member wise.

Multiplication

Multiplication is defined from

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1.$$

(Hamilton wrote these equations into Brougham Bridge in Dublin on 16.10.1843.)

It can be easily checked that the following matrix follows from the equation above:

\cdot	i	j	k
i	-1	k	$-j$
j	$-k$	-1	i
k	j	$-i$	-1

Multiplication Using Dot and Cross Product

Using dot product and cross product, the product of $(r_1; \bar{x}_1)$ and $(r_2; \bar{x}_2)$ can be defined as

$$(r_1 r_2 - \bar{x}_1 \cdot \bar{x}_2; r_1 \bar{x}_2 + r_2 \bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_1 \times \bar{x}_2).$$

Norm of a Quaternion

Let $q = (r; x_1, x_2, x_3)$ be a quaternion. The **norm of** q , written as $\|q\|$, is defined as $\sqrt{r^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}$.

It is easily checked that, for any two quaternions q_1 and q_2 , one has $\|q_1 \cdot q_2\| = \|q_1\| \cdot \|q_2\|$.

Conjugate of a Quaternion

Definition: For a quaternion $q = (r; x_1, x_2, x_3)$, define the **conjugate** \bar{q} as $(r; -x_1, -x_2, -x_3)$.

It can be checked that $q \cdot \bar{q} = \|q\|^2$ and that $\overline{q_1 q_2} = \bar{q}_2 \bar{q}_1$.

Using the first property, one can define $q^{-1} = \frac{\bar{q}}{\|q\|^2}$.

From the second property follows that $(q_1 q_2)^{-1} = q_2^{-1} q_1^{-1}$.

Quaternions and Rotations

We are interested in quaternions because they are the most natural way to represent rotations in three dimensional space.

A rotation can also be represented by a matrix, but:

1. A quaternion is a bit more compact, and multiplying quaternions is a bit cheaper than multiplying matrices. (But the difference is not big.)
2. Applying a quaternion is more expensive, than applying a matrix, but it is easy to construct the rotation matrix from a quaternion.
3. A quaternion is always a well-formed rotation. A matrix gets polluted by floating point rounding, and may need correction.
4. In all cases, the simplest way to construct a rotation matrix is through the quaternion, so there is no way around them.

Quaternions and Rotations

Definition: Let \bar{x} be a vector, and let ϕ be a real number. We define

$$q_{\bar{x},\phi} = (\|\bar{x}\| \cos \frac{1}{2}\phi; \quad \bar{x} \sin \frac{1}{2}\phi).$$

Definition: For a quaternion q , the function f_q is defined as follows:

$$\lambda \bar{v} : f_q(\bar{v}) = q \cdot \bar{v} \cdot q^{-1}.$$

Theorem: The function $f_{q_{\bar{x},\phi}}$ defines a rotation around axis \bar{x} over angle ϕ .

In order to determine the direction of rotation, use the **screwdriver rule** or **corkscrew rule**. (lefty-loosey, righty-tighty)

We prove this important theorem on the next slides.

- First observe that f_q does not depend on $\|q\|$, as long as it is not zero.
- It can be easily checked that f_q is always a linear function. This means that $f_q(\lambda\bar{v}) = \lambda f_q(\bar{v})$ and $f_q(\bar{v} + \bar{w}) = f_q(\bar{v}) + f_q(\bar{w})$. As a consequence, f_q can be represented by a matrix.
- For two quaternions q_1 and q_2 and a vector \bar{v} , we have $f_{q_1 q_2}(\bar{v}) = f_{q_1}(f_{q_2}(\bar{v}))$. This implies that the functions can be composed by multiplying the quaternions.
- If one writes $q = (r; \bar{x})$, then $f_q = q \cdot \bar{v} \cdot q^{-1}$ has form

$$\frac{(r; \bar{x})(0; \bar{v})(r; -\bar{x})}{r^2 + \|\bar{x}\|^2} = \frac{(-\bar{x} \cdot \bar{v}; r\bar{v} + \bar{x} \times \bar{v})(r; -\bar{x})}{r^2 + \|\bar{x}\|^2} = \frac{(0; r^2\bar{v} + 2r(\bar{x} \times \bar{v}) + (\bar{x} \cdot \bar{v})\bar{x} - (\bar{x} \times \bar{v}) \times \bar{x})}{r^2 + \|\bar{x}\|^2}. \quad (1)$$

Defining Rotations

We first give a direct expression for rotations. After that, we show that it is equal to the expression on the previous slide.

Assume that we want to rotate with angle ϕ around axis \bar{e} . We assume that \bar{e} be a unit vector. Let \bar{v} be the factor that we want to rotate: Define the following vectors:

1. Projection of \bar{v} onto \bar{e} : $\bar{V}_z = \bar{e}(\bar{e} \cdot \bar{v})$.
2. Direction in which rotation would start moving, if it would be carried out gradually: $\bar{V}_y = \bar{e} \times \bar{v}$.
3. The arm of the rotation, when it starts: $\bar{V}_x = (\bar{e} \times \bar{v}) \times \bar{e}$.

We have $\bar{v} = \bar{V}_x + \bar{V}_z$.

Rotation of \bar{v} over angle ϕ results in

$$(\bar{e} \cdot \bar{v})\bar{e} + ((\bar{e} \times \bar{v}) \times \bar{e}) \cos \phi + (\bar{e} \times \bar{v}) \sin \phi. \quad (2)$$

Comparing the Expressions

We replace $r := \cos \frac{1}{2}\phi$, and $\bar{x} := \bar{e} \sin \frac{1}{2}\phi$ in (1). The result is

$$\frac{\bar{v} \cos^2 \frac{1}{2}\phi + 2(\bar{e} \times \bar{v}) \cos \frac{1}{2}\phi \sin \frac{1}{2}\phi + (\bar{e} \cdot \bar{v})\bar{e} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\phi - ((\bar{e} \times \bar{v}) \times \bar{e}) \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\phi}{\cos^2 \frac{1}{2}\phi + \|\bar{e}\|^2 \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\phi}.$$

Note that $\|\bar{e}\| = 1$, so that the denominator equals 1, and $\sin \phi = 2 \sin \frac{1}{2}\phi \cos \frac{1}{2}\phi$. We get:

$$\bar{v} \cos^2 \frac{1}{2}\phi + (\bar{e} \times \bar{v}) \sin \phi + (\bar{e} \cdot \bar{v})\bar{e} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\phi - ((\bar{e} \times \bar{v}) \times \bar{e}) \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\phi.$$

Using $\bar{v} = \bar{V}_x + \bar{V}_z$ and $\cos(\phi) = \cos^2 \frac{1}{2}\phi - \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\phi$, we obtain the same as (2).

$$(\bar{e} \cdot \bar{v})\bar{e} + (\bar{e} \times \bar{v}) \sin \phi + ((\bar{e} \times \bar{v}) \times \bar{e}) \cos \phi.$$

Matrix Representation

Since f_q is always a linear function, it is possible to give a matrix representation. Here it is, assuming that $q = (r; \bar{x})$:

$$\frac{\begin{pmatrix} r^2 + x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 & 2(x_1x_2 + rx_3) & 2(x_1x_3 - rx_2) \\ 2(x_1x_2 - rx_3) & r^2 - x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_3^2 & 2(x_2x_3 + rx_1) \\ 2(x_1x_3 + rx_2) & 2(x_2x_3 - rx_1) & r^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_3^2 \end{pmatrix}}{r^2 + \|\bar{x}\|^2}$$

Remarks

Although the correctness proof was not so easy, quaternions are easy to use. Use them!

Coordinate Systems

Coordinate systems are always **right handed**, which means that $Z = X \times Y$.

In order to define a new coordinate system \mathcal{C}' in terms of an existing coordinate system \mathcal{C} , one needs to define its origin \bar{b} and orientation q .

The following transformation transforms \mathcal{C}' -coordinates to \mathcal{C} coordinates:

$$T(\bar{x}) = \bar{b} + f_q(\bar{x}).$$

In order to transform \mathcal{C} -coordinates to \mathcal{C}' -coordinates, use

$$T^{-1}(\bar{x}) = -f_{q^{-1}}(\bar{b}) + f_{q^{-1}}(\bar{x}).$$

Coordinate Systems

Earth Centered Earth Fixed (ECEF) coordinates are defined as follows:

Origine is the center of mass of the earth.

X: From the center of the earth towards the point where the equator intersects with the 0 meridian.

Y: From the center of the earth towards the point where the equator intersects with the 90 deg meridian.

Z: From the center of the earth towards the north pole.

The institute is on position N 51 deg 6 min 39.9 sec and E 17 deg 3 min 13.4 sec . The position in ECEF is

(3835996.227, 1176715.805, 4941310.474).

Local East North Up (LENU) is defined as follows:

Origin is the point where you stand, at sea level.

X: East.

Y: North.

Z: Up.

In order to transform LENU to ECEF, relative to the institute, use \bar{b} from the previous slide, and

$q = (0.560541377; 0.197886954, 0.267691243, 0.758271400)$.

Eye or **camera** coordinates are defined as follows:

The origin is the position of the camera.

X: To the right, relative to the camera's orientation.

Y: Up, relative to the camera's orientation.

Z: Behind the camera.

In order to make the perspective computation, first transform into eye coordinates using T^{-1} . After that, use:

$$(x', y') = \begin{cases} (-\frac{x}{z}, -\frac{y}{z}), & \text{if } z \leq -1 \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Of course, some additional scaling and clipping may be necessary.

In computer graphics, all transformations are represented by **homogeneous** or **projective** transformations. The result is the same.

Airplane coordinates are defined as follows:

X: Pointing forward along the frame, in flying direction, when the plane flies straight.

Y: Pointing to the right. (Starboard side.)

Z: Pointing downward.

The origin could be set in the center of mass of the plane, but this is not a good idea. The position of the center of mass depends on load and on fuel, and is likely to move during flight.

In addition to the previous, there may be more coordinate systems:
For example for nose wheel steering, or for movable aerodynamic surfaces.

The view of the pilot is determined by

$$\bar{b} = (14, 0, -1), \quad q = (-1; 1, 1, -1).$$

The view of a passenger in seat 27A (left looking, somewhat in the back of the plane) is determined by

$$\bar{b} = (-10, -2, -1), \quad q = (-1; 1, 0, 0).$$

If an airplane flies west at an altitude of 5000 meter over the origin of a LENU coordinate system, then the coordinate system of the plane is determined by

$$\bar{b} = (0, 0, 5000), \quad q = (0; 0, 1, 0).$$

How to Obtain Coordinate Transformations

It is sometimes difficult to understand what the transformation

$$T(\bar{x}) = \bar{b} + f_q(\bar{x})$$

means.

1. It is the translation + rotation that moves \mathcal{C} onto \mathcal{C}' . The vector \bar{b} moves the origin of \mathcal{C} to the origin of \mathcal{C}' . The quaternion q rotates the axes XYZ-axes of \mathcal{C} onto the XYZ-axes of \mathcal{C}' .
2. At the same time, the function $T(\bar{x})$ transforms \mathcal{C}' -coordinates into \mathcal{C} -coordinates.

It is usually difficult to determine a quaternion. Use a Rubik cube, to find out which points do not change under the rotation. In this way, you can determine the axis of the rotation. After that, guess the angle.

One can use the rotation matrix to check the result. The columns of the matrix are the axes of \mathcal{C}' , expressed in \mathcal{C} .

For the passenger in 27A, the matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Composition of Coordinate Transformations

Coordinate transformations can be composed as follows:

Assume that \mathcal{C}' is defined in \mathcal{C} by origin \bar{b}_1 and rotation q_1 .

Assume that \mathcal{C}'' is defined in \mathcal{C}' by origin \bar{b}_2 and rotation q_2 .

Then \mathcal{C}'' is defined in \mathcal{C} by $\bar{b}_3 = \bar{b}_1 + f_{q_1}(\bar{b}_2)$, and $q_3 = q_1 q_2$.

It often happens that one knows the orientation q , and one knows that $T(\bar{x}_0) = \bar{y}_0$.

In that case, \bar{b} can be solved from $\bar{y}_0 = \bar{b} + f_q(\bar{x}_0)$.

The result $\bar{b} = \bar{y}_0 - f_q(\bar{x}_0)$.

This happens when one knows the position of the center of mass of the plane, and its orientation.

Angular Speed

Angular velocities are represented by a vector $\bar{\omega}$ that is aligned along the axis of rotation, whose direction is determined by the cork screw rule, and whose length is determined by the rotation speed. One rotation per second means that $\bar{\omega}$ has length 2π .

If the rotation is around the origin, then the speed of a point \bar{x} can be expressed by

$$\bar{v}(\bar{x}) = \bar{\omega} \times \bar{x}.$$

If the rotation is not around the origin, then let \bar{b} be the center of rotation. The speed of point \bar{x} can be expressed by

$$\bar{v}(\bar{x}) = \bar{\omega} \times (\bar{x} - \bar{b}) = \bar{b} \times \bar{\omega} + \bar{\omega} \times \bar{x}.$$

Speed of Rigid Objects

A **rigid object** is an object, whose components always keep the same orientation to each other.

The speed function $\bar{v}(\bar{x})$ has form

$$\bar{v}(\bar{x}) = \bar{w} + \bar{\omega} \times \bar{x}.$$

If we know ω and that a certain point \bar{x}_0 has speed \bar{w}_0 , then we find

$$\bar{w} = \bar{w}_0 - \bar{\omega} \times \bar{x}_0.$$

When \bar{x}_0 is far from the origin, it may be better to keep the representation

$$\bar{v}(\bar{x}) = \bar{w}_0 + \bar{\omega} \times (\bar{x} - \bar{x}_0),$$

because both \bar{w} and \bar{x} will become big and waste floating point accuracy. This may happen when you want to fly around the world or to the moon. I will ignore this problem.

Effect of Rigid Speed Function on Position and Orientation

Let \mathcal{C} be a coordinate system, defined by origin \bar{b} , and orientation q .

We want to know how \mathcal{C} changes when it has a speed, and a rotation.

We assume speed function $\bar{v}(\bar{x})$, as defined on the previous slide.

The origin has speed $\bar{v}(\bar{b})$. After small time h , it will be at position

$$\bar{b}' = \bar{b} + h \cdot \bar{v}(\bar{b}).$$

In small time h , angular speed $\bar{\omega}$ causes rotation over angle $\|\bar{\omega}\|h$.

The quaternion of this rotation is $(\|\bar{\omega}\| \cos \frac{1}{2}h\|\bar{\omega}\|; \bar{\omega} \sin \frac{1}{2}h\|\bar{\omega}\|)$.

This implies that

$$q' = (\|\bar{\omega}\| \cos \frac{1}{2}h\|\bar{\omega}\|; \bar{\omega} \sin \frac{1}{2}h\|\bar{\omega}\|) q.$$

Expressing a Rigid Speed Function in Different Coordinates

Let coordinate system \mathcal{C}' be defined in \mathcal{C} by origin \bar{b} and orientation q . Let T be the transformation function:

$$T(\bar{x}) = \bar{b} + f_q(\bar{x}).$$

Assume that we have a speed function $\bar{v}'(\bar{x}) = \bar{w}' + \bar{w}' \times \bar{x}$, which is defined in coordinate system \mathcal{C}' . We want the corresponding speed function \bar{v} expressed in \mathcal{C} .

Define

$$\bar{w} = f_q(\bar{w}').$$

We have $\bar{v}'(\bar{0}) = \bar{w}'$, so that $\bar{v}(T(\bar{0})) = f_q(\bar{w}')$.

It follows that

$$\bar{v}(\bar{x}) = (f_q(\bar{w}') - \bar{w} \times \bar{b}) + \bar{w} \times \bar{x}.$$

Determining Wind Speed

Suppose that a rigid object (an airplane) has position $T(\bar{x}) = \bar{b} + f_q(\bar{x})$, and speed $\bar{v}(\bar{x}) = \bar{w} + \bar{\omega} \times \bar{x}$.

We want to express speed in internal coordinates, using $\bar{v}'(\bar{x}) = \bar{w}' + \bar{\omega}' \times \bar{x}$. We start with:

$$\bar{\omega}' = f_{q-1}(\bar{\omega}).$$

We also know that $\bar{v}(\bar{b}) = \bar{w} + \bar{\omega} \times \bar{b}$. It follows from $\bar{v}'(\bar{0}) = \bar{w}'$ that

$$\bar{w}' = f_{q-1}(\bar{w}) + f_{q-1}(\bar{\omega} \times \bar{b}) = f_{q-1}(\bar{w}) + \bar{\omega}' \times f_{q-1}(\bar{b}).$$

This together gives the complete definition of $\bar{v}'(\bar{x}) = \bar{b}' + \bar{\omega}' \times \bar{x}$.

The wind that you feel when standing on point \bar{x} (still in internal coordinates), equals

$$-\bar{v}'(\bar{x}).$$

The previous expression is very important. You need it all the time for computing aerodynamic forces, (wings, etc.) and frictional forces. (wheels, etc.)