

Theorem Proving:List 2

Deadline: 09.03.2016

1. Determine whether the following pairs of formulas have unifiers. If they have, then construct a most general unifier.

$p(X, Y, Z)$	$p(Y, Z, X)$
$p(X, Y)$	$p(X, Y, Z)$
$p(X)$	$q(Y)$
$p(X, X)$	$p(s(0), s(Y))$
$p(X, X)$	$p(s(Y), s(Z))$
$p(X, X)$	$p(s(0), s(1))$
$p(s(X), X)$	$p(s(Y), Y)$
$p(s(X), X)$	$p(Y, Y)$
$p(s(X), X)$	$p(t(Y), Y)$

2. Consider the clause $[\neg p(X), p(s(X))]$, which can resolve with itself as follows:

$$R : \frac{[\neg p(X), p(s(X))] \quad [\neg p(Y), p(s(Y))]}{[\neg p(X), p(s(s(X)))]}$$

The following clause set S is a set of instances of $[\neg p(X), p(s(X))]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\neg p(0), p(s(0))], \\
 & [\neg p(s(0)), p(s(s(0)))], \\
 & [\neg p(s(s(0))), p(s(s(s(0))))], \\
 & [\neg p(s(s(s(0))))], p(s(s(s(s(0))))),], \\
 & [\neg p(a), p(s(a))], \\
 & [\neg p(s(a)), p(s(s(a)))],
 \end{aligned}$$

Construct all clauses that are derivable by resolution from S in a single step. Give for each possible derivation the substitution Θ that makes it an instance of R . (Such substitution always exists, due to the lifting theorem)

3. Skolemize the following formulas. If required, transform them into Negation Normal Form first:

(a) $\forall x \exists y x < y$.

- (b) $\forall x A(x) \rightarrow (\exists y B(y) \wedge x = y)$
- (c) $\forall x ((\exists y P(x, y)) \rightarrow (\exists z P(x, z)))$.
- (d) $\forall x A(x) \rightarrow \exists y_1 (R(x, y_1) \wedge \exists y_2 (R(y_1, y_2) \wedge \exists y_3 R(y_2, y_3)))$.
- (e) $\neg \exists xy (x < y \wedge y < x)$.

4. Consider the sequent $\Gamma, \forall x (\neg p(x) \vee p(s(x))) \vdash$

By resolution, one can obtain the sequent $\Gamma, \forall x (\neg p(x) \vee p(s(x))), \forall x (\neg p(x) \vee p(s(s(x)))) \vdash$ from it.

Show that if the second sequent has a proof, then the first one also has.

Do this by proving the first sequent from the second. You will need cut.

5. Consider the sequent

$$\forall x \exists y P(x, y) \vdash \forall x \exists y_1 y_2 (P(x, y_1) \wedge P(y_1, y_2))$$

- (a) Make the sequent one sided, and transform it into NNF.
- (b) Apply Skolemization.
- (c) Transform into clausal normal form.
- (d) Use resolution to prove the resulting sequent.

6. Consider the sequent

$$\vdash (\exists x P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\exists x P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \vee (\exists x P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x))$$

- (a) Make the sequent one sided, and transform it into NNF.
- (b) Apply Skolemization.
- (c) Transform into clausal normal form.
- (d) Use resolution to prove the resulting sequent.