

1 Conversions

Conversions are functions that construct equality theorems from terms. Given a term t , a conversion will try to construct a theorem of form $\vdash t = u$.

- `BETA_CONV`

If t has form $(\lambda x A)u$, then the result has form $t = A[x := u]$.

Conversionals are functions that modify conversions.

- `ONCE_DEPTH_CONV c`

If t has a subterm u on which c constructs a theorem $\vdash u = v$, then `(ONCE_DEPTH_CONV c)` constructs the theorem $\vdash t[u] = t[v]$. In case, more u are possible, top-down order decides which one is chosen.

- `DEPTH_CONV c` // Use c for a one time rewriting sweep.
`TOP_DEPTH_CONV c` // Rewrite as long as possible using c .
`REDEPTH_CONV c` // Rewrite as long as possible using c .

2 Rules

Rules are functions that construct theorems. In HOL light, constructing a theorem is the same as proving it.

- `ASSUME t`

Constructs the theorem $t \vdash t$.

- `CONJ thm1 thm2`

If **thm1** has form $\Gamma_1 \vdash A$, and **thm2** has form $\Gamma_2 \vdash B$, then the result has form $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \wedge B$.

- `CONJUNCT1 thm`

If **thm** has form $\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B$, then the result is the theorem $\Gamma \vdash A$.

- `CONJUNCT2 thm`

If **thm** has form $\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B$, then the result is the theorem $\Gamma \vdash B$.

- MP **thm1 thm2**

If **thm1** has form $\Gamma_1 \vdash A \rightarrow B$, and **thm2** has form $\Gamma_2 \vdash A$, then the result equals $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash B$.

- CONTR **t thm**

Prove $\Gamma \vdash t$ from $\Gamma \vdash F$. (F is the false constant)

- DISJ1 **thm term**

If **thm** has form $\Gamma \vdash A$ and **term** has form B , then the result is the theorem $\Gamma \vdash A \vee B$.

- DISJ2 **thm term**

If **thm** has form $\Gamma \vdash B$ and **term** has form A , then the result is the theorem $\Gamma \vdash A \vee B$.

- DISJ_CASES **thm1 thm2 thm2**

If **thm1** has form $\Gamma_1 \vdash A \vee B$, **thm2** has form $\Gamma_2, A \vdash C$ and **thm3** has form $\Gamma_3, B \vdash C$, then the result has form $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3 \vdash C$.

- EXISTS **t1 t2 thm**

Introduces an existential quantifier from a witness. **t1** must have form $\exists x P(x)$, and **thm** must have form $\Gamma \vdash P[x := \mathbf{t}_2]$.

- CHOOSE **x, thm1 thm2**

Should be applied on a pair consisting of a term and a theorem, and a second theorem. **thm1** must have form $\Gamma_1 \vdash \exists x P(x)$, x must be an eigenvariable, and **thm2** must have form $\Gamma_2, P(x) \vdash A$. The result will be the theorem

$$\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A.$$

- GEN **x thm**

If **thm** has form $\Gamma \vdash A$, and x is a variable that is not free in Γ , then the result equals $\Gamma \vdash \forall x A$.

- DISCH **term t**

If **thm** has form $\Gamma \vdash A$, then the result has form $\Gamma \setminus \{t\} \vdash t \rightarrow A$.

- `INST_TYPE [v1,t1; ... , vn,tn] thm`

Instantiate type variables in **thm** by the substitution $\{v_1 := t_1, \dots, v_n := t_n\}$. Type variables are in principle not visible, but you can get them by decomposing the sequent, and use `type_of`.

- `INST [v1,t1, . . . , vn, tn] thm`

Instantiate the variables in **thm** by the substitution $\{v_1 := t_1, \dots, v_n := t_n\}$.

- `ISPEC t thm`

If **thm** has form $\Gamma \vdash \forall x A$, then the result has form $\Gamma \vdash A[x := t]$. If necessary, `ISPEC` also instantiates type variables.

- `ITAUT f`

Try to prove f automatically in propositional logic and construct the theorem $\vdash f$ if it succeeds.

- `NOT_ELIM thm`

Replace $\neg A$ by $A \rightarrow \perp$ in conclusion of theorem.

- `NOT_INTRO thm`

Replace $A \rightarrow \perp$ by $\neg A$ in conclusion of theorem.

- `CONV_RULE c thm`

Remember that a conversion is a function that makes an equality theorem $\vdash t = u$ from a term t . `CONV_RULE c` applies c on the conclusion A of **thm** and if it succeeds, it uses the equality to replace A by A' . The result is a new theorem $\Gamma \vdash A'$.

- `GSYM thm`

If **thm** has form $\Gamma \vdash \forall \bar{x} t_1 = t_2$, then the result has form $\Gamma \vdash \forall \bar{x} t_2 = t_1$.

3 The Goal Editor

- The proof editor is entered by typing

```
g 'formula' ;;
```

Do not forget to use back quotes.

- `b();;`

Backtrack.

- `e(T);;`

Expand the goal, using tactic T . A list of useful tactics is given below.

- `p();;`

Prints the main subgoal.

- `r(I);;`

Rotates the subgoals by I . This rule proves nothing, but it is useful if you want to see which subgoals there are, or you want to work on another goal. I can be positive or negative.

- If you managed to prove all subgoals, then the original goal has become a theorem. It is called

`top_thm()`

You can save it in a variable by typing

`let v = top_thm();;`

4 Tactics

Tactics are the operations that you can type in the E-command of the proof editor. A tactic consists of two parts: A function f_1 that transforms a goal $\Gamma \vdash A$ into a new set of goals

$$\Gamma_1 \vdash A_1, \dots, \Gamma_n \vdash A_n,$$

and a function f_2 of type $\mathbf{thm}^i \rightarrow \mathbf{thm}$ that constructs $\Gamma \vdash A$ from $\Gamma_1 \vdash A_1, \dots, \Gamma_n \vdash A_n$. When you apply the tactic, the editor uses f_1 to construct a list of new subgoals. If you manage to prove all the subgoals, the editor will use f_2 to construct the original goal.

- STRIP_TAC

Simplifies the conclusion and the assumptions of the goal in standard (but somewhat unpredictable) way, looking at its main operator. The rule also splits (for example, when a premiss has \vee as main operator) If you want to simplify a goal completely, use (REPEAT STRIP_TAC). This will repeat STRIP_TAC until it fails.

- REWRITE_TAC [th1 ; th2 ... ; thn]

Rewrite goal, using the theorems th1 \dots thn, which must have the forms of rewrite rules. As a general rule, you should direct all equalities in such a way that they can be used as rewrite rules, because rewriting is very useful.

- ASM_REWRITE_TAC [th1 ; th2; ...; thn]

Same as REWRITE_TAC but now also assumptions that look like rewrite rules can be used. Note that an assumption a that does not look like a rewrite rule, is replaced by $a \Rightarrow \top$, so that it can still simplify the goal. I think that negative assumptions $\neg a$ are replaced by the rule $a \Rightarrow \perp$.

- EQ_TAC

Replaces $a \Leftrightarrow$ in the goal by two implications.

- DISCH_TAC

If the goal has form $A \rightarrow B$, then A is added to the assumptions and B becomes the new goal. If the goal has form $\neg A$ then A is added to the assumptions, and \perp becomes the new goal.

- ASM_CASES_TAC term

Do a case split on term. The result consists of two subgoals. In the first, it is assumed that term = true. In the second, it is assumed that term = false.

- ASSUME_TAC thm

If the goal has form $\Gamma \vdash A$ and **thm** has form $\Gamma' \vdash B$ with $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$, then the new goal will be $\Gamma, B \vdash A$.

- MP_TAC thm

If the goal has form $\Gamma \vdash A$ and **thm** has form $\Gamma' \vdash B$ with $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$, then the new goal will be $\Gamma \vdash B \rightarrow A$.

- `DISJ_CASES_TAC thm`

If the goal has form $\Gamma \vdash C$ and `thm` has form $\Gamma' \vdash A \vee B$, with $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$, then the goal will be split into two goals

$$\Gamma, A \vdash C \text{ and } \Gamma, B \vdash C.$$

- `EXISTS_TAC t`

If the goal has form $\Gamma \vdash \exists x P(x)$, then it becomes $\Gamma \vdash P(t)$. If you see mysterious failures, then the reason could be that you have to provide type information in term t .

- `CHOOSE_TAC thm`

If the goal has form $\Gamma \vdash A$ and `thm` has form $\Gamma' \vdash \exists x P(x)$, with $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$, then the new goal will be $\Gamma, P(x) \vdash A$, where x is an eigenvariable.

- `GEN_TAC`
`X_GEN_TAC`

`GEN_TAC` allows to prove a formula of form $\forall x P(x)$ by proving $P(y)$ for an eigenvariable y . `X_GEN_TAC` does the same, but it allows you to specify the eigenvariable.

- `DISJ1_TAC`

If the goal has form $\Gamma \vdash A \vee B$, then the new goal will have form $\Gamma \vdash A$.

- `DISJ2_TAC`

If the goal has form $\Gamma \vdash A \vee B$, then the new goal will have form $\Gamma \vdash B$.

- `CONJ_TAC`

If the goal has form $\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B$, then create two new goals

$$\Gamma \vdash A \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash B.$$

- `CONTR_TAC`

Replace the goal $\Gamma \vdash A$ by $\Gamma \vdash F$.

- `ANTS_TAC`

Replaces a goal of form $\Gamma \vdash (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C$ by two goals

$$\Gamma \vdash A \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash B \rightarrow C.$$

- `CONV_TAC conv`

`conv` is a conversion, i.e. a function, s.t. `conv t` returns a theorem $\vdash t = t'$.
When applied on a goal of form $\Gamma \vdash t$, the new goal will be $\Gamma \vdash t'$.

See the section on conversions for a list of conversions.

- `ITAUT_TAC`

Try to prove goal using ITAUT.

- `MATCH_MP_TAC thm`

If the goal has form $\Gamma \vdash B$, and `thm` has form

$$\Gamma' \vdash \forall x_1 \dots x_n A'[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow B'[x_1, \dots, x_n],$$

s.t. $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$ and $B'[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ can be matched into B with substitution $\{x_1 := t_1, \dots, x_n := t_n\}$, then the new goal will be $\Gamma \vdash A'[t_1, \dots, t_n]$.

- `ASM_MESON_TAC [thm1, ..., thmn]`

Try to prove the goal automatically using a built-in theorem prover MESON, using the theorems `thm1, ..., thmn` as premisses. If you type `MESON_TAC` without `ASM`, the assumptions of the goal will not be used.

- `ASM_REWRITE_TAC [thm1, ..., thmn]`

Rewrite conclusion of goal using equalities in the assumptions, the equalities `thm1, ..., thmn`, and some additional set of equalities called `basic_rewrites`.

- `SPEC_TAC t, v`

Replaces in the conclusion of the goal, every occurrence of t by variable v and universally quantifies by $\forall v$.

- `(REPEAT t)`

Repeats tactic t until it fails.

- `t1 THEN t2`

First apply t_1 , and after that t_2 on all subgoals generated by t_2 .

5 Other Useful Functions

- `type_of t`

Returns the type of t .

- `concl thm`

Returns the conclusion of a theorem as term.

Looking into the structure of a term:

It is sometimes necessary to look at the type variables, and it can make your scripts more robust if you can reuse parts of the formulas.

- `lhs t`

If t is an equality $t_1 = t_2$, then the result is t_1 .

- `rhs t`

If t is an equality $t_1 = t_2$, then the result is t_2 .

- `rator t`

If t is an application term $a \cdot b$, then the result equals a .

- `rand t`

If t is an application term $a \cdot b$, then the result equals b .

- `bndvar t`

If t is an abstraction term $\lambda x u$, then the result equals x .

- `body t`

If t is an abstraction term $\lambda x u$, then the result equals u .

- `top_goal()`.

Returns a pair containing of the list of premisses and the conclusion of the current goal.

Building Terms

- `mk_comb t1,t2` // Note that this is a pair, not two arguments!
`mk_icoomb t1, t2`

Builds the application $(t_1 t_2)$. `mk_icoomb` instantiates type variables in t_1 , so it is a bit stronger.

- `mk_abs v,t` // This is a pair.

Builds $\lambda v t$.

- `mk_binder s v,t`

Builds the term $(s (\lambda v t))$. s must be a string (with double quotes). You probably think that the same term can also be built using `mk_abs` and `mk_comb`, but problems with type variables seem to make it impossible to do this.

- `mk_pair t1,t2`

Makes a pair from a pair. This may seem useless, but the argument is an OCAML pair, and the result is a HOL pair.

- `mk_eq t1,t2`

Makes equality $t_1 = t_2$. If you try to use `mk_comb`, you will run into type problems, but you can use `mk_icoomb`.

Definitions: